

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,432 12/10/2004		12/10/2004	Rene Vire	0512-1245 3928	
466	7590	02/21/2006		EXAMINER	
YOUNG &	THOM	PSON	OLSON, LARS A		
745 SOUTH	23RD ST	REET			
2ND FLOOR				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON VA 22202				3617	

DATE MAILED: 02/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
• • •		10/517,432	VIRE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Lars A. Olson				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		3617 orrespondence address			
Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ja	nuary 2006.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-16</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,9 and 13</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>3-8,10-12 and 14-16</u> is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment	• •	о П				
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 12102004.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/517,432 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

1. An amendment was received from the applicant on January 12, 2006.

Claim 2 has been canceled.

Claim Objections

3. Applicant is advised that should claim 9 be found allowable, claim 13 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 9 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hightower et al. (US 4,010,619) in view of Buffman et al. (US 5,752,460).

Hightower et al. discloses an underwater device, as shown in Figures 1-9, for unwinding a wire between two moving objects, as shown in Figure 1, said device,

Application/Control Number: 10/517,432 Page 3

Art Unit: 3617

defined as Part #20, having a wire, defined as Part #18, that is wound on at least one coil that is received in a reel, defined as Part #202, said reel being arranged between said two moving objects, defined as Parts #10 and 30, where said reel can be separated from said two moving objects, as shown in Figure 1, and comprises a means for stabilizing said reel in said fluid, defined as Parts #204 and 205, as shown in Figure 5. Said device also has a connection mechanism which temporarily connects said reel and one of said moving objects that has been launched, as shown in Figure 2.

Hightower et al., as set forth above, discloses all of the features claimed except for the use of a stabilizing means in the form of a keel that is connected to a fixed plane.

Buffman et al. discloses a submersible towed body, as shown in Figures 1 and 2, that includes a stabilizing means in the form of a keel, defined as Part #134, that is connected to a fixed plane of said towed body, as shown in Figure 1.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to utilize a keel on a towed body, as taught by Buffman et al., in combination with the underwater device as disclosed by Hightower et al. for the purpose of providing a passive stabilizing means instead of a thruster to enhance the stability of an underwater device.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3-8, 10-12 and 14-16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3617

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 9 and 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Exr. Lars Olson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6685.

lo

February 16, 2006

LARS A. OLSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Tous Obser 2/16/06